

Low-dimensional quantum spin systems

Indrani Bose

Department of Physics

Bose Institute

93/1, A.P.C. Road

Calcutta-700009

(Lecture Note for the SERC School held at Mehta Research Institute, India) **Abstract.** Low-dimensional quantum spin systems are interacting many body systems for which several rigorous results are known. Powerful techniques like the Bethe Ansatz provide exact knowledge of the ground state energy and low-lying excitation spectrum. A large number of compounds exists which can effectively be described as low-dimensional spin systems. Thus many of the rigorous results are also of experimental relevance. In this Lecture Note, an introduction is given to quantum spin systems and the rigorous results known for such systems. Some general theorems and exactly-solvable models are discussed. An elementary introduction to the Bethe Ansatz technique is given.

1. Introduction

In Condensed Matter Physics, we study many body systems of different kinds. In a many body system, a large number of entities interact with each other and usually quantum effects are important. The entities may be fermions (electrons in a metal, He^3 atoms), bosons (He^4 atoms) or localised spins on some lattice. In my set of lectures, we will discuss interacting spin systems in low dimensions. The spins are located at the different sites of a lattice. The most well-known model of interacting spins is the Heisenberg model with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (1)$$

$\vec{S}_i$ is the spin operator located at the lattice site i . J_{ij} denotes the strength of the exchange interaction. The spin $|\vec{S}_i|$ can have a magnitude $1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, \dots$ etc. The lattice, at the sites of which the spins are located, is d -dimensional. Examples are a linear chain ($d = 1$), the square lattice ($d = 2$) and the cubic lattice ($d = 3$). Ladders have structures interpolating between the chain and the square lattice. A n -chain ladder consists of n chains coupled by rungs. The spin dimensionality n denotes the number of components of a spin. The Ising, XY and Heisenberg models correspond to $n = 1, 2$ and 3 respectively. The generalised Ising and XY Hamiltonians are:

$$H_{\text{Ising}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} S_i^z S_j^z \quad (2)$$

$$H_{xy} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} [S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y] \quad (3)$$

For the anisotropic XY model, $J_{ij}^x \neq J_{ij}^y$. Usually, the sites i and j are nearest-neighbours (n.n.s) on the lattice and the J_{ij} 's have the same magnitude J for all the n.n. interactions. The Hamiltonian in (1) becomes

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (4)$$

There are, however, other possibilities. We mention some examples :

(a) Alternating chain system :

$$H = \sum_j [1 - (-1)^j \delta] \vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+1} \quad (5)$$

where $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$.

(b) Further-neighbour interactions :

The well-known Majumdar-Ghosh chain [1] is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{MG} = J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + J/2 \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2} \quad (6)$$

The Haldane-Shastry model[2] has a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \frac{1}{|i-j|^2} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (7)$$

(c) J_{ij} 's can be random in sign and magnitude [3].

(d) Quantum antiferromagnetic chain with incommensuration [4].

(e) Mixed-spin system:

A good example is a chain of alternating spins 1 and 1/2 .

(f) Fully anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian :

The Hamiltonian in 1d and for n.n. interaction

$$H_{XYZ} = \sum_{i=1}^N [J_x S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + J_y S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + J_z S_i^z S_{i+1}^z] \quad (8)$$

The Ising and XY Hamiltonians are special cases of H_{XYZ} .

Once we know the Hamiltonian of a spin system, we want to determine the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the system. This is, however, a difficult task as the number of eigenstates is huge . For a spin-1/2 system, the number is 2^N where N is the number of spins. We usually determine the ground state and the low-lying excited states in an approximate manner. Exact knowledge can be obtained only in a few cases. The low-lying excitation spectrum specifies the low-temperature thermodynamics and the response to weak external fields. The spectrum may have some universal features. In the case of electronic systems, there are two well-known universal classes: the Fermi liquids and the Luttinger liquids. We will learn about the universal features of spin excitation spectra as we go on. The spin excitation spectrum can be gapless or can have a gap. In the case of a gapless excitation spectrum, there is at least one momentum wave vector for which the excitation energy is zero. For a spectrum with gap, the lowest excitation is separated from the ground state by an energy gap Δ . The temperature dependence of thermodynamic quantities is determined by the nature of the excitation spectrum (with or without gap). Again, one can give examples from an electronic system. For a fermi liquid, the electronic excitation spectrum is gapless and the electronic specific heat C_n has a linear temperature dependence. A conventional superconductor is characterised by an energy gap Δ in the electronic excitation spectrum and the electronic specific heat $c_n \sim \exp -\frac{\Delta}{k_B T}$ in the superconducting state.

The partition function Z of a thermodynamic system is

$$Z = \sum_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{k_B T}} \quad (9)$$

where E_i 's are the energy levels and the sum is over all the states of the system. The free energy

$$F = -k_B T \ln Z \quad (10)$$

The thermodynamic quantities of a magnetic system are:

Magnetic energy :

$$U_m = \langle H \rangle = -Z^{-1} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial (1/k_B T)} \quad (11)$$

Entropy S_H at constant field H :

$$S_H = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \right)_H \quad (12)$$

Magnetization M_T at constant temperature :

$$M_T = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial H} \right)_T \quad (13)$$

Specific heat at constant field :

$$C_H = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_H = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_H = -T \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2} \right)_H \quad (14)$$

Isothermal susceptibility

$$\chi_T = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \right)_T = - \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial H^2} \right)_T \quad (15)$$

Spontaneous magnetization M_S ($H = 0$) is known as the order parameter and has a non-zero value in the ordered phase of a magnetic system. Susceptibility, known as a response function of the system, is a measure of how the magnetization changes as the external field H is changed. The zero-field susceptibility is

$$\chi = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \right)_{T, H=0} \quad (16)$$

At low temperatures, only the lowest energy levels are excited and a knowledge of the low-lying excitation spectrum enables one to determine the low temperature thermodynamics.

Exchange interaction can give rise to magnetic order below a critical temperature. However, for some spin systems, there is no magnetic order even at $T = 0$. The three major types of magnetic order are ferromagnetism (FM), antiferromagnetism (AFM) and ferrimagnetism (Fi). For FM order, the n.n. spins have a tendency towards parallel alignment, for AFM order, the n.n. spins tend to be antiparallel whereas for Fi order, the n.n. spins favour antiparallel alignment but the associated magnetic moments have different magnitudes. In all the three cases, the magnetic order survives upto a critical temperature T_c . The order parameter in the case of an AFM is the spontaneous sublattice magnetization. Another measure of the spontaneous order is in the two-spin correlation function. Long range order (LRO) exists in the magnetic system if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \langle \vec{S}(0) \cdot \vec{S}(\vec{R}) \rangle \neq 0 \quad (17)$$

where $\vec{R}$ denotes the spatial location of the spin. At $T = 0$, the expectation value is in the ground state and at $T \neq 0$, the expectation value is the usual thermodynamic average.

The dynamical properties of a magnetic system are governed by the time-dependent pair correlation functions or their Fourier transforms. An important time-dependent correlation function is

$$G(R, t) = \langle \vec{S}_R(t) \cdot \vec{S}_0(0) \rangle \quad (18)$$

Dynamical correlation function is the quantity measured in inelastic neutron scattering experiments. The differential scattering cross-section in such an experiment is given by

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} \propto S^{\mu\mu}(\vec{q}, \omega) \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_R e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle S_R^\mu(t) S_0^\mu(0) \rangle \quad (20)$$

where $\vec{q}$ and ω are the momentum wave vector and energy of the spin excitation. The spin components, S^μ ($\mu = x, y, z$), are perpendicular to $\vec{q}$. For a particular $\vec{q}$, the peak in $S^{\mu\mu}(\vec{q}, \omega)$ occurs at a value of ω which gives the excitation energy. At $T = 0$,

$$S^{\mu\mu}(\vec{q}, \omega) = \sum_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}^{\mu} \delta(\omega + E_g - E_{\lambda}) \quad (21)$$

E_g (E_{λ}) is the energy of the ground (excited) state and

$$M_{\lambda}^{\mu} = 2\pi | \langle G | S^{\mu}(\vec{q}) | \lambda \rangle |^2 \quad (22)$$

Quantities of experimental interest include the dynamical correlation function, various relaxation functions and associated lineshapes.

2. Ground and excited states of the FM and AFM Heisenberg Hamiltonian

Consider the isotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (23)$$

where $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes a n.n. pair of spins. The sign of the exchange interaction determines the favourable alignment of the n.n. spins. $J > 0$ ($J < 0$) corresponds to AFM (FM) exchange interaction. To see how exchange interaction leads to magnetic order, treat the spins as classical vectors. Each n.n. spin pair has an interaction energy $JS^2 \cos \theta$ where θ is the angle between n.n. spin orientations. When J is < 0 , the lowest energy is achieved when $\theta = 0$, i.e., the interacting spins are parallel. The ground state has all the spins parallel and the ground state energy $E_g = -\frac{JNz}{2}S^2$ where z is the coordination number of the lattice. When J is > 0 , the lowest energy is achieved when $\theta = \pi$, i.e., the n.n. spins are antiparallel. The ground state is the Néel state in which n.n. spins are antiparallel to each other. The ground state energy $E_g = -\frac{JNz}{2}S^2$.

Magnetism, however, is a purely quantum phenomenon and the Hamiltonian (23) is to be treated quantum mechanically rather than classically. For simplicity, consider the case of a chain of spins of magnitude $1/2$. Periodic boundary condition is assumed, i.e., $\vec{S}_{N+1} = \vec{S}_1$.

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} = J \sum_{i=1}^N \left[S_i^z S_{i+1}^z + \frac{1}{2} (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) \right] \quad (24)$$

where

$$S_i^{\pm} = S_i^x \pm iS_i^y \quad (25)$$

are the raising and lowering operators. For spins of magnitude $\frac{1}{2}$, S^z has two possible values $1/2$ and $-1/2$ ($\hbar = 1$). The corresponding states are denoted as $|\alpha\rangle$ (up-spin) and $|\beta\rangle$ (down-spin) respectively. The spin algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^z |\alpha\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} |\alpha\rangle \\ S^z |\beta\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} |\beta\rangle \\ S^+ |\alpha\rangle &= 0 \\ S^+ |\beta\rangle &= |\alpha\rangle \\ S^- |\alpha\rangle &= |\beta\rangle \\ S^- |\beta\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

It is easy to check that in the case of a FM, the classical ground state is still the quantum mechanical ground state with the same ground state energy. However, the classical AFM ground state (the Néel state) is not the quantum mechanical ground state. The determination of the exact AFM ground state is a tough many body problem and the solution can be obtained with the help of the Bethe Ansatz technique (Section 4).

For a FM, the low-lying excitation spectrum can be determined exactly. Excitations are created by deviating spins from their ground state arrangement. Deviate a single spin in the FM ground state $|\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\dots\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\rangle$. The number of such states is N as the deviated spin can be at any one of the N locations (sites). The actual eigenfunction Ψ is a linear combination of these states:

$$\Psi = \sum_{m=1}^N a(m)\psi(m) \quad (27)$$

where m denotes the location of the deviated spin and runs from 1 to N. The wave function $\psi(m)$ has a down spin β at the mth site.

$$\psi(m) = |\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\dots\beta\alpha\alpha\dots\alpha\alpha\rangle \quad (28)$$

To solve the eigenvalue problem

$$H\Psi = E\Psi \quad (29)$$

one has to determine the unknown coefficients a(m).

$$H \sum_m a(m)\psi(m) = E \sum_m a(m)\psi(m) \quad (30)$$

Multiply by $\psi^*(l)$ on both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m a(m) \langle \psi(l) | H | \psi(m) \rangle &= E \sum_m a(m) \langle \psi(l) | \psi(m) \rangle \\ &= E \sum_m a(m) \delta_{ml} \\ &= E a(l) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the l.h.s., only those terms survive for which $H |\psi(m)\rangle = |\psi(l)\rangle$. Consider the FM version of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian in (24), i.e., replace J by -J with $J > 0$. The Hamiltonian H is a sum of two parts:

$$H = H_z + H_{XY} \quad (32)$$

We consider the effect of each Hamiltonian on a n.n. spin pair. The following rules hold true. H_z acting on a parallel (antiparallel) spin pair gives -J/4 (J/4) times the same spin pair.

$$-JS_i^z S_{i+1}^z |\alpha\alpha\rangle = -\frac{J}{4} |\alpha\alpha\rangle, -JS_i^z S_{i+1}^z |\alpha\beta\rangle = \frac{J}{4} |\alpha\beta\rangle \quad (33)$$

H_{XY} acting on a parallel spin pair gives zero and acting on an antiparallel spin pair interchanges the spins with the coefficient -J/2

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) |\alpha\alpha\rangle &= 0 \\ -\frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) |\alpha\beta\rangle &= -\frac{J}{2} |\beta\alpha\rangle \\ -\frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) |\beta\alpha\rangle &= -\frac{J}{2} |\alpha\beta\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In the wave function $\psi(m)$, there are $(N-2)$ parallel and 2 antiparallel pairs of spins. Applying the rules of spin algebra, we get from (31),

$$\begin{aligned} E a(l) &= \left[-\frac{J}{4}(N-2) + \frac{J}{4} \times 2 \right] a(l) - \frac{J}{2} [a(l+1) + a(l-1)] \\ \epsilon a(l) &= \frac{J}{2} [2a(l) - a(l+1) - a(l-1)] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where, $\epsilon = E + \frac{JN}{4}$, is the energy of the excited state measured w.r.t. the ground state energy $E_g = -\frac{JN}{4}$. The solution for $a(l)$ in (35) is

$$a(l) = e^{ikl} \quad (36)$$

and we get

$$\epsilon = J(1 - \cos(k)) \quad (37)$$

From the PBC,

$$a(l) = a(l+N) \quad (38)$$

which leads to

$$e^{ikN} = 1 \quad (39)$$

or, $k = \frac{2\pi}{N}\lambda, \lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$.

The excited state consists of a deviated down spin propagating along the chain. The excitation is called a spin wave or a magnon. For r down spins ($r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ etc.), we have r magnon excitations. The r magnons can scatter against each other giving rise to a continuum of scattering states or they can form bound states. The exact bound state spectrum can be derived using the BA (Section 4). The case of the AFM Heisenberg Hamiltonian will be considered in this Section.

The BA technique is applicable to quantum many body systems only in 1d. However, not all 1d quantum many body problems can be solved by the BA. For such problems as well as for models in higher dimensions, approximate techniques are used to gain knowledge of the ground state and the excitation spectrum [5, 6].

3. Theorems and rigorous results for Heisenberg AFMs

A. Lieb-Mattis theorem [7]: For general spin and for all dimensions and also for a bipartite lattice, the entire eigenvalue spectrum satisfies the inequality

$$E_0(S) \leq E_0(S+1)$$

where $E_0(S)$ is the minimum energy corresponding to total spin S . The weak inequality becomes a strict inequality for a FM exchange coupling between spins of the same sublattice. The theorem is valid for any range of exchange coupling and the proof does not require PBC. The ground state of the $S=1/2$ Heisenberg AFM with an even number N of spins is a singlet according to the Lieb-Mattis theorem.

B. Marshall's sign rule [6, 8]:

The rule specifies the structure of the ground state of a n.n. $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg Hamiltonian defined on a bipartite lattice. The rule can be generalised to spin S , n.n.n. FM interaction but not to n.n.n. AFM interaction. A bipartite lattice is a lattice which can be divided into two sublattices A and B such that the n.n. spins of a spin belonging to the A sublattice are located in the B sublattice and vice versa. Examples of such lattices are the linear chain, the square and the cubic lattices. According to the sign rule, the ground state ψ has the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\mu} C_{\mu} |\mu\rangle \quad (40)$$

where $|\mu\rangle$ is an Ising basis state. The coefficient C_μ has the form

$$C_\mu = (-)^{p_\mu} a_\mu \quad (41)$$

with a_μ real and ≥ 0 and p_μ is the number of up-spins in the A sublattice.

Proof: We write the AFM Heisenberg Hamiltonian in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - \frac{1}{4}) \\ &= 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_i^z S_j^z - \frac{1}{4}) + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$= H_z + H_{XY} \quad (43)$$

Let m_μ be the z-component of the total spin and q_μ the number of antiparallel bonds in the spin state $|\mu\rangle$. H_z acting on a parallel spin pair gives zero and acting on an antiparallel spin pair gives $-J$. H_{XY} acting on a parallel spin pair gives zero and interchanges the spins in the case of an antiparallel spin pair. In the latter case, m_μ and q_μ are unchanged and $p_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu \pm 1$.

$$H |\psi\rangle = J \sum_{\mu} C_\mu \{-q_\mu |\mu\rangle + \sum_{\nu} |\nu\rangle\} \quad (44)$$

where $|\nu\rangle$ differs from $|\mu\rangle$ by one interchange of antiparallel n.n.s. There are q_μ such states.

$$\begin{aligned} E_c &= \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \\ &= \frac{J \sum_{\mu} \{-q_\mu C_\mu^* C_\mu + \sum_{\nu} C_\nu^* C_\mu\}}{\sum_{\mu} |C_\mu|^2} \\ &= \frac{J \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} C_\mu [-C_\mu^* + C_\nu^*]}{\sum_{\mu} |C_\mu|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Let

$$C_\mu = (-1)^{p_\mu} a_\mu \quad (46)$$

where a_μ is arbitrary. Since $p_\nu = p_\mu \pm 1$, from (46),

$$\begin{aligned} C_\mu C_\nu^* &= (-1)^{2p_\mu \pm 1} a_\mu a_\nu^* \\ &= -a_\mu a_\nu^* \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Also, $C_\mu C_\mu^* = a_\mu a_\mu^*$. From (45),

$$E_c = -J \frac{\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} a_\mu [a_\mu^* + a_\nu^*]}{\sum_{\mu} a_\mu^* a_\mu} \quad (48)$$

Now, since μ, ν are dummy indices

$$\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} a_\mu a_\nu^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} [a_\mu a_\nu^* + a_\mu^* a_\nu] \quad (49)$$

If a_μ, a_ν are real and positive, $a_\mu \rightarrow |a_\mu|$, $a_\nu \rightarrow |a_\nu|$ and

$$a_\mu a_\nu = |a_\mu| |a_\nu| \quad (50)$$

If a_μ, a_ν are complex, the term in (49) is

$$\frac{1}{2}\{|a_\mu| e^{i\phi_\mu} |a_\nu| e^{-i\phi_\nu} + |a_\mu| e^{-i\phi_\mu} |a_\nu| e^{i\phi_\nu}\} = |a_\mu| |a_\nu| \cos(\phi_\mu - \phi_\nu) \\ \leq |a_\mu| |a_\nu|$$

The energy E_c in (45) can be reduced by choosing a_μ 's to be real and ≥ 0 (proved).

C. Lieb, Schultz and Mattis theorem[6, 9]:

A half-integer S spin chain described by a reasonably local Hamiltonian respecting translational and rotational symmetry either has gapless excitation spectrum or has degenerate ground states, corresponding to spontaneously broken translational symmetry.

Proof: We consider the Heisenberg AFM Hamiltonian in (24). A rigorous proof of uniqueness (non-degeneracy) of the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ exists in this case. We wish to prove that there is a low energy excitation of $O(1/L)$, where L is the length of the chain. The proof consists of two steps :
(i) Construct a state $|\psi_1\rangle$ which has low energy, i.e.,

$$\langle \psi_1 | (H - E_0) | \psi_0 \rangle = O(1/L) \quad (51)$$

(ii) Show that $|\psi_1\rangle$ is orthogonal to the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$. The state $|\psi_1\rangle$ is constructed by applying an unitary transformation on $|\psi_0\rangle$ which gives rise to a slowly varying rotation of the spins about the z-axis.

$$|\psi_1\rangle = U |\psi_0\rangle \\ U = \exp \left[i \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_n n S_n^z \right] \quad (52)$$

The operator U rotates the nth spin by the small angle $\frac{2\pi n}{L}$ about the z-axis. The spin coordinates are rotated by 2π about the z-axis between the first and last sites. Note the spin identities

$$e^{i\theta S^z} S^+ e^{-i\theta S^z} = e^{i\theta} S^+ \\ e^{i\theta S^z} S^- e^{-i\theta S^z} = e^{-i\theta} S^- \quad (53)$$

Now,

$$\langle \psi_1 | (H - E_0) | \psi_1 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | U^{-1} (H - E_0) U | \psi_0 \rangle \quad (54)$$

The Hamiltonian H in (24) consists of two parts H_z and H_{XY} . H_z commutes with U. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_0 | U^{-1} H U | \psi_0 \rangle &= \langle \psi_0 | H_z | \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0 | U^{-1} H_{XY} U | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | H_{XY} | \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0 | U^{-1} H_{XY} U | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &= E_0 - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \rangle + h.c. \right\} + \langle \psi_0 | U^{-1} H_{XY} U | \psi_0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

In the last term, making use of the spin identities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1} S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- U &= U^{-1} S_i^+ U U^{-1} S_{i+1}^- U \\ &= e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{L} i} S_i^+ e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (i+1)} S_{i+1}^- \\ &= e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L}} S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

So, from (54) - (56),

$$\langle \psi_1 | (H - E_0) | \psi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L}} - 1 \right] \sum_i \langle S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \rangle + h.c. \right\} \quad (57)$$

Since the ground state is unique it must be isotropic implying

$$\langle S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \rangle = \langle S_i^- S_{i+1}^+ \rangle \quad (58)$$

Thus,

$$\langle \psi_1 | (H - E_0) | \psi_1 \rangle = \left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right) - 1 \right] \sum_i \langle S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \rangle \quad (59)$$

Since $S_i^+ S_{i+1}^-$ is a bounded operator,

$$\langle \psi_1 | (H - E_0) | \psi_1 \rangle = O(1/L) \quad (60)$$

In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, the r.h.s. of (60) $\rightarrow 0$.

Constructing a low-energy state, however, proves nothing. The state may become equal to the ground state as $L \rightarrow \infty$. To complete the proof, we have to show that this does not happen. This can be done by showing that $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_0\rangle$ are orthogonal to each other. We will now show that $|\psi_1\rangle$ has momentum π relative to the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ which, being unique, is also a momentum eigenstate. Thus the two states are orthogonal to each other. Let T be the translation operator which produces a translation by one site.

$$TS_j T^{-1} = S_{j+1}, TS_N T^{-1} = S_1 \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} TUT^{-1} &= \exp \left[i \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} n S_{n+1}^z + L S_1^z \right] \\ &= U \exp \left[-i \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{n=1}^L S_n^z \right] e^{i 2\pi S_1^z} \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

The ground state has total spin zero since it is unique and so the first exponential has the value 1. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T |\psi_1\rangle &= TU |\psi_0\rangle = TUT^{-1} T |\psi_0\rangle \\ &= e^{i 2\pi S_1^z} U T |\psi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

The exponential is -1 since S_1^z has eigenvalues $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} T |\psi_1\rangle &= -UT |\psi_0\rangle = e^{i(\pi + k_0)} U |\psi_0\rangle \\ &= e^{i(\pi + k_0)} |\psi_1\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where k_0 is the momentum wave vector of the ground state. The state $|\psi_1\rangle$ has momentum wave vector π relative to the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and is thus orthogonal to it. This completes the proof of the LSM theorem for the $S=1/2$ Heisenberg AFM Hamiltonian in 1d. The proof extends immediately to arbitrary half-odd integer S but fails in the integer S case. For the latter, the exponential in (63) is +1 and it cannot be proved that $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_0\rangle$ are orthogonal to each other. If the translational symmetry is spontaneously broken in the ground state, the ground state develops degeneracy (Eq.(60) is still true but $|\psi_1\rangle$ is another ground state as $L \rightarrow \infty$). The excitation spectrum has a gap in this case.

In the presence of a magnetic field, the $S=1/2$ HAFM chain has gapless excitation spectrum from zero field upto a saturation field, at which the ground state becomes the fully polarized ferromagnetic ground state. For integer S , Haldane made a conjecture that the excitation spectrum has a gap. This conjecture has been verified both theoretically and experimentally [10]. In the

presence of a magnetic field, the gap persists up to a critical field, equal to the gap. The spectrum is gapless from the critical field to a saturation field. The Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem can be extended to the case of an applied magnetic field [11]. In this case, a striking phenomenon analogous to the quantum Hall effect occurs. The phenomenon is that of magnetization plateaus. The content of the extended theorem is : translationally invariant spin chains in an applied field can have a gapped excitation spectrum, without breaking translational symmetry, only when the magnetization/site m obeys the relation

$$S - m = \text{integer} \quad (65)$$

where S is the magnitude of the spin. The proof is an easy extension of that of the LSM theorem. The gapped phases correspond to magnetization plateaus in the m vs. H curve at the quantized values of m which satisfy (65). Whenever there is a gap in the spin excitation spectrum, it is obvious that the magnetization cannot change in changing external field. Fractional quantization can also occur, if accompanied by (explicit or spontaneous) breaking of the translational symmetry. In this case, the plateau condition is given by

$$n(S - m) = \text{integer} \quad (66)$$

where n is the period of the ground state. Hida [12] has considered a $S=1/2$ HAFM chain with period 3 exchange coupling. A plateau in the magnetization curve occurs at $m=1/6$ ($1/3$ of full magnetization). In this case, $n = 3$, $S = 1/2$ and $m = 1/6$ and the quantization condition in (66) is obeyed.

D. Mermin-Wagner's theorem [6, 13] :

There cannot be any AFM LRO at finite T in dimensions $d = 1$ and 2 . AFM LRO can, however, exist in the ground states of spin models in $d = 2$. LRO exists in the ground state of the 3d HAFM model for spin $S \geq 1/2$ [14]. At finite T , the LRO persists upto a critical temperature T_c . For square [15] and hexagonal [16] lattices, LRO exists in the ground state for $S \geq 1$. The above results are based on rigorous proofs. No such proof exists as yet for $S=1/2$, $d = 2$ (this case is of interest because the CuO_2 plane of the high- T_c cuprate systems is a $S = 1/2$ 2d AFM).

3. Possible ground states and excitation spectra

The Néel state is not the ground state of the quantum Heisenberg Hamiltonian. the Néel state, we know, has perfect AFM LRO. It is still possible that the true ground state of a quantum Hamiltonian has Néel-type order, i.e., non-zero sublattice magnetization. The ground state is essentially the Néel state with quantum fluctuations mixed in. This picture does indeed describe the ground state of the HAFM in $d \geq 2$. The ground state of the HAFM in 1d has no LRO and is an example of a spin liquid . Even in higher dimensions, one can have disordered ground states due to what is known as frustration. Frustration occurs when the interaction energies associated with all the spin pairs cannot be simultaneously minimized. This may occur due to lattice topology or due to the presence of further-neighbour interactions. The triangular [17], kagomé[18] and pyrochlore lattices [19] are well-known examples of frustrated lattices. Another recent example is the pentagonal lattice [20]. In these lattices, the number of bonds in each elementary plaquette is odd. We illustrate frustration by considering Ising spins on a triangular plaquette. Each Ising spin, sitting at a vertex of the triangle, can be either up or down. AFM exchange interaction energy is minimized if the n.n. spin pairs are antiparallel. As Figure 1 shows, not all the three n.n. spin pairs can be simultaneously antiparallel. The parallel spin pair may be located on any one of the bonds of the triangle. In fact, the ground state of the Ising AFM on the triangular lattice is highly degenerate. The entropy/spin has a non-zero value in the ground state and there is no ordering of the spins. If there is a mixture of FM and AFM interactions, then a spin model defined on an unfrustrated lattice like the square lattice (each elementary plaquette, a square, has four bonds) can also exhibit frustration, if the number of n.n. AFM interactions in a plaquette is odd. Frustration also occurs if both n.n. as well as n.n.n. interactions are present. Frustration favours disordered ground states but does not rule out AFM LRO in the ground state. The Ising AFM on the triangular lattice has a disordered ground state but various calculations suggest that the HAFM Hamiltonian on the triangular lattice has AFM LRO [21].

Various types of disordered ground states are possible: dimer, resonating valence bond (RVB), twisted, chiral and strip or collinear states. The lowest energy configuration of two spins interacting via the HAFM exchange interaction is a spin singlet with energy $-3J/4$. The singlet is often termed a valence bond (VB) or dimer (an object which occupies two sites). A pictorial representation of a VB between spins at sites i and j is a solid line joining the sites. The square lattice with N sites can be covered by $N/2$ VBs in various possible ways. Each possibility corresponds to a VB state. A RVB state is a coherent linear superposition of VB states (Figure 2). The 2d $S = 1/2$ AFM CaV_4O_9 has a lattice structure corresponding to a $1/5$ -depleted square lattice. The lattice consists of plaquettes of four spins connected by bonds. The ground state of the AFM is the Plaquette RVB (PRVB) state [22]. In this state, the spin configuration in each plaquette is a linear combination of two VB configurations. In one of these the two singlet bonds are horizontal and in the other they are vertical. Bose and Ghosh [23] have constructed a spin model for which the PRVB state is the exact ground state. The triplet excitation is separated from the ground state by an energy gap and singlet excitations fall within the gap.

In a columnar dimer state, the dimers or VBs are arranged in columns. A description of the other disordered ground states can be obtained from Ref. [24]. The disordered ground states do not have conventional LRO but are not simple paramagnets. One can define suitable operators, the expectation values of which in the appropriate ground states are non-zero. The states, with different types of order, are described as quantum paramagnets. There is a huge literature on AFM spin models with disordered ground states. This is mainly because of the relevance of such studies for high temperature superconductivity. The common structural ingredient of the high- T_c cuprate systems is the CuO_2 plane. It looks like a square lattice with Cu^{2+} ions located at the lattice sites and oxygen ions sitting on the bonds in between. The Cu^{2+} ions carry spin-1/2 and the n.n. spins interact via the HAFM exchange interaction Hamiltonian. In the undoped state, the cuprates exhibit AFM LRO below the Néel temperature. On doping the systems with a few percent of holes, the AFM LRO is rapidly destroyed leaving behind a spin disordered state. It is important to understand the nature of the spin disordered state as this state serves as the reference state into which holes are introduced on doping. The holes are responsible for charge transport in the metallic state and forms bound pairs in the superconducting state. We now discuss some spin models for which the ground state properties (energy and wave function as well as correlation functions) can be calculated exactly. The first model is described by the Majumdar-Ghosh Hamiltonian [1, 6]. This is defined in 1d for spins of magnitude 1/2. The Hamiltonian is AFM in nature and includes both n.n. as well as n.n.n. interactions. The strength of the latter is half that of the former.

$$H_{MG} = J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + J/2 \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2} \quad (67)$$

The exact ground state of H_{MG} is doubly degenerate and the states are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &\equiv [12][34] \dots [N-1N] \\ \phi_2 &\equiv [23][45] \dots [N1] \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where $[lm]$ denotes a singlet spin configuration for spins located at the sites l and m . Also, PBC is assumed. One finds that translational symmetry is broken in the ground state. The proof that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the exact ground states can be obtained by the method of ‘divide and conquer’. One can verify that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are exact eigenstates of H_{MG} by applying the spin identity

$$\vec{S}_n \cdot (\vec{S}_l + \vec{S}_m) [lm] = 0 \quad (69)$$

Let E_1 be the energy of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Let E_g be the exact ground state energy. Then

$$E_g \leq E_1 \quad (70)$$

One divides the Hamiltonian H into sub-Hamiltonians, H_i 's, such that $H = \sum_i H_i$. H_i can be exactly diagonalised and let E_{i0} be the ground state energy. Let ψ_g be the exact ground state wave function. By variational theory,

$$E_g = \langle \psi_g | H | \psi_g \rangle = \sum_i \langle \psi_g | H_i | \psi_g \rangle \geq \sum_i E_{i0} \quad (71)$$

From (70) and (71), one gets

$$\sum_i E_{i0} \leq E_g \leq E_1 \quad (72)$$

If one can show that $\sum_i E_{i0} = E_1$, then E_1 is the exact ground state energy. For the MG-chain, the sub-Hamiltonian H_i is

$$H_i = J/2(\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \vec{S}_{i+1} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2} + \vec{S}_{i+2} \cdot \vec{S}_i) \quad (73)$$

There are N such sub-Hamiltonians. One can easily verify that $E_{i0} = -3J/8$ and $E_1 = -3\frac{J}{4}\frac{N}{2}$ ($-3J/4$ is the energy of a singlet and there are $N/2$ VBs in ϕ_1 and ϕ_2). From (72), one finds that the lower and upper bounds of E_g are equal and hence ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the exact ground states with energy $E_1 = -3JN/8$. There is no LRO in the two-spin correlation function in the ground state:

$$K^2(i, j) = \langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{8}\delta_{|i-j|,1} \quad (74)$$

The four-spin correlation function has off-diagonal LRO.

$$\begin{aligned} K^4(ij, lm) &= \langle S_i^x S_j^x S_l^y S_m^y \rangle \\ &= K^2(ij)K^2(lm) + \frac{1}{64}\delta_{|i-j|,1}\delta_{|l-m|,1} \\ &\times \exp(i\pi(\frac{i+j}{2} - \frac{l+m}{2})) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Let T be the translation operator for unit displacement. Then

$$T\phi_1 = \phi_2, T\phi_2 = \phi_1 \quad (76)$$

The states

$$\phi^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \phi^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \quad (77)$$

correspond to momentum wave vectors $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$. The excitation spectrum is not exactly known. Shastry and Sutherland [25] have derived the excitation spectrum in the basis of 'defect' states. A defect state has the wave function

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, m) &= \dots[2p-3, 2p-2]\alpha_{2p-1}[2p, 2p+1] \\ &\dots[2m-2, 2m-1]\alpha_{2m}[2m+1, 2m+2] \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where the defects (α_{2p-1} and α_{2m}) separate two ground states. The two defects are up-spins and the total spin of the state is 1. Similarly, the defect spins can be in a singlet spin configuration so that the total spin of the state is zero. Because of PBC, the defects occur in pairs. A variational state can be constructed by taking a linear combination of the defect states. The excitation spectrum consists of a continuum with a lower edge at $J(5/2 - 2|\cos k|)$. A bound state of the two defects can occur in a restricted region of momentum wave vectors. The MG chain has been studied for general values αJ of the n.n.n. interaction [26]. The ground state is known exactly only at the MG point $\alpha = 1/2$. The excitation spectrum is gapless for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_{cr}$ ($\simeq$

0.2411). Generalizations of the MG model to two dimensions exist [27,28]. The Shastry-Sutherland model [27] is defined on a square lattice and includes diagonal interactions as shown in Figure 3. The n.n. and diagonal exchange interactions are of strength J_1 and J_2 respectively. For $\frac{J_1}{J_2}$ below a critical value ~ 0.7 , the exact ground state consists of singlets along the diagonals. At the critical point, the ground state changes from the gapful disordered state to the AFM ordered gapless state. The compound $SrCu_2(BO_3)_2$ is well-described by the Shastry-Sutherland model [29]. Bose and Mitra [28] have constructed a $J_1 - J_2 - J_3 - J_4 - J_5$ spin-1/2 model on the square lattice. J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 and J_5 are the strengths of the n.n., diagonal, n.n.n., knight's-move-distance-away and further-neighbour-diagonal exchange interactions (Figure 4). The four columnar dimer states (Figure 5) have been found to be the exact eigenstates of the spin Hamiltonian for the ratio of interaction strengths

$$J_1 : J_2 : J_3 : J_4 : J_5 = 1 : 1 : 1/2 : 1/2 : 1/4 \quad (79)$$

It has not been possible as yet to prove that the four columnar dimer states are also the ground states. Using the method of 'divide and conquer', one can only prove that a single dimer state is the exact ground state with the dimer bonds of strength $7J$. The strengths of the other exchange interactions are as specified in (79).

In Section 2, we have discussed the LSM theorem, the proof of which fails for integer spin chains. Haldane [30,10] in 1983 made the conjecture, based on a mapping of the HAFM Hamiltonian, in the long wavelength limit, onto the nonlinear σ model, that integer-spin HAFM chains have a gap in the excitation spectrum. The conjecture has now been verified both theoretically and experimentally [31]. In 1987, Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb and Tasaki (AKLT) constructed a spin-1 model in 1d for which the ground state could be determined exactly [32]. Consider a 1d lattice, each site of which is occupied by a spin-1. Each such spin can be considered to be a symmetric combination of two spin-1/2's. Thus, one can write down

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{++} &= |++\rangle, S^z = +1 \\ \psi_{--} &= |--\rangle, S^z = -1 \\ \psi_{+-} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+-\rangle + |-+\rangle), S^z = 0 \\ \psi_{-+} &= \psi_{+-} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where '+' ('-') denotes an up (down) spin.

AKLT constructed a valence bond solid (VBS) state in the following manner. In this state, each spin-1/2 component of a spin-1 forms a singlet (valence bond) with a spin-1/2 at a neighbouring site. Let $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta = + \text{or } -$) be the antisymmetric tensor :

$$\epsilon^{++} = \epsilon^{--} = 0, \epsilon^{+-} = -\epsilon^{-+} = 1 \quad (81)$$

A singlet spin configuration can be expressed as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}|\alpha\beta\rangle$, summation over repeated indices being implied. The VBS wave function (with PBC) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{VBS}\rangle &= 2^{-\frac{N}{2}} \psi_{\alpha_1\beta_1} \epsilon^{\beta_1\alpha_2} \psi_{\alpha_2\beta_2} \epsilon^{\beta_2\alpha_3} \dots \dots \dots \\ &\quad \psi_{\alpha_i\beta_i} \epsilon^{\beta_i\alpha_{i+1}} \dots \dots \dots \psi_{\alpha_N\beta_N} \epsilon^{\beta_N\alpha_1} \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

$|\psi_{VBS}\rangle$ is a linear superposition of all configurations in which each $S^z = +1$ is followed by a $S^z = -1$ with an arbitrary number of $S^z = 0$ spins in between and vice versa. If one leaves out the zero's, one gets a Néel-type of order. One can define a non-local string operator

$$\sigma_{ij}^\alpha = -S_i^\alpha \exp(i\pi \sum_{l=i+1}^{j-1} S_l^\alpha) S_j^\alpha, (\alpha = x, y, z) \quad (83)$$

and the order parameter

$$O_{string}^\alpha = \lim_{|i-j| \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{ij}^\alpha \rangle \quad (84)$$

The VBS state has no conventional LRO but is characterised by a non-zero value $4/9$ of O_{string}^α . After constructing the VBS state, AKLT determined the Hamiltonian for which the VBS state is the exact ground state. The Hamiltonian is

$$H_{AKLT} = \sum_i P_2(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_{i+1}) \quad (85)$$

where P_2 is the projection operator onto spin 2 for a pair of n.n. spins. The presence of a VB between each neighbouring pair implies that the total spin of each pair cannot be 2 (after two of the $S=1/2$ variables form a singlet, the remaining $S=1/2$'s could form either a triplet or a singlet). Thus, H_{AKLT} acting on $|\psi_{VBS}\rangle$ gives zero. Since H_{AKLT} is a sum over projection operators, the lowest possible eigenvalue is zero. Hence, $|\psi_{VBS}\rangle$ is the ground state of H_{AKLT} with eigenvalue zero. The AKLT ground state (the VBS state) is spin-disordered and the two-spin correlation function has an exponential decay. The total spin of two spin-1's is 2, 1 or 0. The projection operator onto spin j for a pair of n.n. spins has the general form

$$P_j(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_{i+1}) = \prod_{l \neq j} \frac{[l(l+1) - \vec{S}^2]}{[l(l+1) - j(j+1)]} \quad (86)$$

where $\vec{S} = \vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_{i+1}$. For the AKLT model, $j=2$ and $l=1, 0$. From (85) and (86),

$$H_{AKLT} = \sum_i \left[\frac{1}{2}(\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1}) + \frac{1}{6}(\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3} \right] \quad (87)$$

The method of construction of the AKLT Hamiltonian can be extended to higher spins and to dimensions $d > 1$. The MG Hamiltonian (apart from a numerical prefactor and a constant term) can be written as

$$H = \sum_i P_{3/2}(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_{i+1} + \vec{S}_{i+2}) \quad (88)$$

The $S=1$ HAFM and the AKLT chains are in the same Haldane phase, characterised by a gap in the excitation spectrum. The physical picture provided by the VBS ground state of the AKLT Hamiltonian holds true for real systems [33]. The excitation spectrum of H_{AKLT} cannot be determined exactly. Arovas et al [34] have proposed a trial wave function

$$|k\rangle = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikj} S_j^\mu |\psi_{VBS}\rangle, \mu = z, +, - \quad (89)$$

and obtained

$$\epsilon(k) = \frac{\langle k | H_{VBS} | k \rangle}{\langle k | k \rangle} = \frac{25 + 15\cos(k)}{27} \quad (90)$$

The gap in the excitation spectrum $\Delta = \frac{10}{27}$ at $k = \pi$. Another equivalent way of creating excitations is to replace a singlet bond by a triplet spin configuration [35].

We end this Section with a brief mention of spin ladders. The subject of spin ladders is a rapidly growing area of research [36,37,38]. Ref. [38] gives an exhaustive overview of real systems with ladder-like structure and the results of various experiments on ladder compounds. A spin ladder consists of n chains ($n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ etc.) coupled by rungs. The simplest spin ladder (Figure 6) corresponds to $n = 2$. Each site of the ladder is occupied by a spin-1/2. Let J and J_R be the strengths of the intrachain n.n. and rung exchange interactions. When $J_R = 0$, the ladder decouples into two HAFM chains for which the excitation spectrum is known to be gapless. A gap

opens up in the excitation spectrum even for an infinitesimal value of J_R and persists for all values of J_R . When J_R is $\gg J$, the ground state predominantly consists of singlets along the rungs of the ladder. The ground state is spin-disordered. A triplet excitation is created by replacing a rung singlet by a triplet and letting it propagate. The n -chain spin ladder exhibits the ‘odd-even’ effect. The excitation spectrum is gapless (with gap) when n is odd (even). When n is odd, the spin-spin correlation function has a power-law decay as in the case of the HAFM $S = 1/2$ chain. For n even, the ground state correlation function has an exponential decay. For n sufficiently large, the square lattice limit is reached with AFM LRO in the ground state and a gapless excitation spectrum. Bose and Gayen [39] have constructed a two-chain spin ladder model which includes diagonal exchange interactions of strength J . When $J_R \gg 2J$, the exact ground state consists of singlets along the rungs. Later, Xian [39] has shown that the same exact ground state is obtained for $\frac{J_R}{J} > \frac{J_R}{J_c} \simeq 1.40148$. Ghosh and Bose [40] have generalised the two-chain ladder model to an n -chain model for which the ‘odd-even’ effect can be exactly demonstrated.

The spin ladder can be doped with holes. Two holes can form a bound state as in the case of high- T_c cuprate systems. The binding of two holes in a t - J ladder model has been shown through exact, analytic calculations [41]. A ladder compound has been discovered which shows superconductivity (maximum $T_c \sim 12\text{K}$) on doping with holes and under pressure. In the superconducting state, the holes form bound pairs. Again, the analogy with the cuprate systems is strong. For detailed information on undoped and doped spin ladders, see the Refs. [36,38].

4. The Bethe Ansatz

The Bethe Ansatz (BA) was formulated by Bethe in 1931 [42] and describes a wave function with a particular kind of structure. Bethe considered a well-known model in magnetism, the spin- $1/2$ Heisenberg linear chain in which only n.n. spins interact. The wave function of the interacting many body system has the BA form. For ferromagnetic (FM) interactions, Bethe starting with the BA wave function derived the energy dispersion relations of spin wave (magnon) bound states exactly. Hulthén [43] used the BA method to derive an exact expression for the ground state energy of the antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin- $1/2$ Hamiltonian. In later years, it was realized that the method has a wider applicability and is not confined to magnetic spin chains. In fact, many of the exact solutions of interacting many body systems are but variations or generalizations of Bethe’s method. Examples include the Fermi and Bose gas models in which particles on a line interact through delta function potentials [44], the Hubbard model in 1d [45], 1d plasma which crystallizes as a Wigner solid [46], the Lai-Sutherland model [47] which includes the Hubbard model and a dilute magnetic model as special cases, the Kondo model in 1d [48], the single impurity Anderson model in 1d [49], the supersymmetric t - J model ($J = 2t$) [50] etc. In the case of quantum models, the BA method is applicable only to 1d models. The BA method has also been applied to derive exact results for classical lattice statistical models in 2d. In the following we show how the BA method works by considering the spin- $1/2$ linear chain Heisenberg model mentioned in the beginning. The Hamiltonian for the linear chain is given by

$$H = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} \quad (91)$$

describing the interaction of spins located at n.n. lattice sites. The total number of spins is given by N . The magnitude of the spin at each site is given by $1/2$. Periodic boundary condition (PBC) is assumed so that $N + 1 \equiv 1$. We consider the interaction to be ferromagnetic so that the exchange constant J is > 0 . The Hamiltonian describes magnetic insulators in which magnetic moments are localized on well-separated atoms. The Hamiltonian (91) can be written as

$$H = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \left[S_i^z S_{i+1}^z + \frac{1}{2} (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) \right] \quad (92)$$

There are two conserved quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^z &= \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^z, [S^z, H] = 0 \\
\vec{S} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i, [\vec{S}^2, H] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Since S^z is a conserved quantity, there is no mixing of subspaces corresponding to the different values of S^z , thus making it easier to solve the eigenvalue problem. Define the spin deviation operator

$$n = \frac{N}{2} + S^z \tag{94}$$

The FM ground state ψ_g corresponds to $n=0$, i.e., $S^z = -\frac{N}{2}$ with all the spins pointing downwards:

$$\psi_g = \beta(1)\beta(2)\dots\dots\beta(N) \tag{95}$$

The ground state energy is $E_g = -J\frac{N}{4}$.

For $n = r$, we have r up -spins at the sites $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$. The eigenfunction ψ of H in the $n = r$ subspace is a linear combination of the ${}^N C_r$ functions $\psi(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)$:

$$\psi = \sum_{\{m\}} a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) \psi(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r), \{m\} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) \tag{96}$$

The summation is over all the m_i 's running over 1 to N subject to the condition

$$m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r \tag{97}$$

to avoid overcounting of states. We now consider the operation of the Hamiltonian H given in (92) on ψ . The rules of the spin algebra are:

(a) if two neighbouring spins are parallel, the term $S^+ S^- + S^- S^+$ in H gives no contribution. The $S^z S^z$ term on the other hand gives $-\frac{J}{4}$ times the same state $\psi(\{m\})$,

(b) for an antiparallel n.n. spin pair, the term $S^+ S^- + S^- S^+$ interchanges the spins in $\psi(\{m\})$ with a multiplicative constant $-\frac{J}{2}$. The $S^z S^z$ term gives a contribution $\frac{J}{4}$ multiplied by the same state $\psi(\{m\})$. The eigenvalue equation is given by

$$H\psi = E\psi = E \sum_{\{m\}} a(\{m\}) \psi(\{m\}) \tag{98}$$

Take the scalar product with a particular $\psi^*(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)$ and use orthogonality properties to get

$$Ea(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{\{m'\}} a(\{m'\}) + \frac{J}{4} N' a(\{m\}) - \frac{J}{4} (N - N') a(\{m\}) \tag{99}$$

N' is the total number of antiparallel spin pairs so that $(N - N')$ is the number of parallel spin pairs. The sum is over all N' distributions $(m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_r)$ which arise from a n.n. exchange of antiparallel spins in $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)$. Eq. (99) can further be written as

$$(E + JN)a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{\{m'\}} [a(m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_r) - a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)] \tag{100}$$

Or

$$2\epsilon a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) = - \sum_{\{m'\}} [a(m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_r) - a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)] \quad (101)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{J} (E + \frac{N}{4} J) \quad (102)$$

is the energy, in units of J, of the excited states w.r.t. that of the ground state energy E_g . Next, one considers the PBC from which one gets

$$a(m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_r) = a(m_1, \dots, m_r, m_i + N) \quad (103)$$

The spin waves of a FM system are obtained for $n=1$.

$$\psi(m) = \sum_m a(m) \psi(m) \quad (104)$$

$$2\epsilon a(m) = -[a(m+1) + a(m-1) - 2a(m)] \quad (105)$$

The solution of (105) is given by

$$a(m) = e^{ikm}$$

and

$$\epsilon = 1 - \cos k \quad (106)$$

From PBC, the allowed values of k are

$$k = \frac{2\pi\lambda}{N}, \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (107)$$

There are N states. Consider now the case $n=2$, i.e., there are two spin deviations. We have to take into account two cases. If the two spin deviations are not neighbours, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 2\epsilon a(m_1, m_2) &= -a(m_1+1, m_2) - a(m_1-1, m_2) - a(m_1, m_2+1) \\ &\quad - a(m_1, m_2-1) + 4a(m_1, m_2) \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

If the spin deviations are neighbours, one gets

$$2\epsilon a(m_1, m_1+1) = -a(m_1-1, m_1+1) - a(m_1, m_1+2) + 2a(m_1, m_1+1) \quad (109)$$

Eq. (108) is satisfied by the Ansatz

$$a(m_1, m_2) = C_1 e^{i(k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2)} + C_2 e^{i(k_2 m_1 + k_1 m_2)} \quad (110)$$

Then

$$\epsilon = 1 - \cos k_1 + 1 - \cos k_2 \quad (111)$$

C_1, C_2, k_1 and k_2 are to be determined. Eq. (109) can be satisfied by Eq. (110), if the coefficients C_1 and C_2 are chosen to make

$$a(m_1, m_1) + a(m_1+1, m_1+1) - 2a(m_1, m_1+1) = 0 \quad (112)$$

For spin-1/2 particles, the amplitudes $a(m, m)$ have no physical significance but are defined by Eq. (112). Put Eq. (110) into Eq. (112) to get

$$\frac{C_1}{C_2} = \frac{\sin\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2) + i\{\cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2) - \cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\}}{\sin\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2) - i\{\cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2) - \cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\}} \quad (113)$$

Let $C_1 = e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}$ and $C_2 = e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}}$. Then

$$2\cot\frac{\phi}{2} = \cot\frac{k_1}{2} - \cot\frac{k_2}{2} \quad (114)$$

Also, PBC gives

$$a(m_1, m_2) = a(m_2, m_1 + N) \quad (115)$$

This is in accordance with the ordering of m_i 's given in Eq. (97). From the PBC, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} Nk_1 - \phi &= 2\pi\lambda_1 \\ Nk_2 + \phi &= 2\pi\lambda_2 \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, (N-1) \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

We have three equations (114) and (116) for the three quantities ϕ, k_1, k_2 . The sum of k_1 and k_2 is a constant of motion by translational symmetry.

$$k = k_1 + k_2 = \frac{2\pi}{N}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \quad (117)$$

Since λ_1 and λ_2 can be interchanged without affecting the solution, we choose $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$. For a given λ_1 and λ_2 , the solutions are completely determined by (114) and (116).

The following three cases are to be considered for determining the dependence of the wave numbers k_1 and k_2 on λ_1 and λ_2 :

Case I: $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 + 2$

Case II: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}$, λ even

Case III: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - 1 = \frac{(\lambda-1)}{2}$, λ odd

In Case I, for a given value of λ_2 , λ_1 can take on the values $\lambda_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda_2 - 2$. The total number of solutions is given by

$$\sum_{\lambda_2=2}^{N-1} (\lambda_2 - 1) = {}^{N-1} C_2 \quad (118)$$

In this case, all the wave numbers are real and for specified values of λ_1 and λ_2 , k_1, k_2 and ϕ are determined uniquely from (114) and (116). The energy eigenvalue ϵ_I is given by (111) with k_1, k_2 real. In Case II, from (116),

$$\begin{aligned} Nk_1 - \phi &= \pi\lambda \\ Nk_2 + \phi &= \pi\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

We look for solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= u + iv \\ k_2 &= u - iv \\ \phi &= \psi + i\chi \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

From (119) and (120), we find that $\psi = 0$ and $\chi = Nv$. If v is non-zero, then for $N \rightarrow \infty$, χ also $\rightarrow \infty$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\cot\frac{\phi}{2} &= \frac{\sin\psi - i\sinh\chi}{\cosh\chi - \cos\psi} \\ &= -i(1 + 2e^{-\chi})\end{aligned}$$

In a first approximation,

$$\begin{aligned}2\cot\frac{\phi}{2} &= \cot\frac{k_1}{2} - \cot\frac{k_2}{2} \\ \Rightarrow -2i &= \frac{\sin u - i\sinh v}{\cosh v - \cos u} - \frac{\sin u + i\sinh v}{\cosh v - \cos u} \\ \text{or, } \sinh v &= \cosh v - \cos u \\ \text{or, } e^{-v} &= \cos u\end{aligned}\tag{121}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= 2 - \cos(u + iv) - \cos(u - iv) \\ &= 2 - \cos u \left(\cos u + \frac{1}{\cos u} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2u) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos k)\end{aligned}\tag{122}$$

From (121), $\cos u \geq 0$, so $-\pi/2 \leq u \leq \pi/2$ and k can be chosen to be in the range $-\pi \leq k \leq \pi$. Let us specify the energy obtained in (122), for complex values of k_1 and k_2 , as ϵ_{II} . Let us now compare ϵ_I and ϵ_{II} . From (111),

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_I &= 1 - \cos k_1 + 1 - \cos k_2 \\ &= 2 - 2\cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2) \\ &= 2 - 2\cos\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\cos\frac{k}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{123}$$

The value of k lies in the range $-\pi \leq k \leq \pi$. For each value of k , $k_1 - k_2$ lies in the range $0 \leq (k_1 - k_2) \leq 2\pi$. Thus if one plots ϵ_I against k , one gets a continuum of scattering states (Figure 7).

Now, the minimum value of ϵ_I is

$$\epsilon_I^{\min} = 2 - 2\cos\frac{k}{2}\tag{124}$$

From (122),

$$\epsilon_{II} = 1 - \cos^2\frac{k}{2}\tag{125}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\epsilon_{II}}{\epsilon_I^{\min}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \cos\frac{k}{2}\right) \leq 1\tag{126}$$

We find that $\epsilon_{II} < \epsilon_I^{\min}$ for $k \neq 0$. For $k = 0$, the bound state is degenerate with an eigenstate belonging to the continuum of scattering states and having the energy eigenvalue zero. Thus there are $N-1$ bound state solutions.

We now consider the wave functions in Cases I and II. For Case I, k_1, k_2 and ϕ are real and

$$a(m_1, m_2) = e^{i(k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2 + \phi/2)} + e^{i(k_2 m_1 + k_1 m_2 - \phi/2)}\tag{127}$$

The state describes the scattering of spin waves. The ‘phase shift’ ϕ results from the mutual interaction between the spin waves. Since spin operators at two different sites commute, the excitations behave like bosons and the amplitude $a(m_1, m_2)$ is properly symmetrized to reflect the bosonic nature of the spin waves. In Case II, from (120) and with $\psi = 0$ and $\chi = Nv$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a(m_1, m_2) &= e^{i\phi/2} e^{i(k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2)} + e^{-i\phi/2} e^{i(k_2 m_1 + k_1 m_2)} \\ &= e^{ik/2(m_1 + m_2)} \left[e^{-Nv/2 - v(m_1 - m_2)} + e^{Nv/2 + v(m_1 - m_2)} \right] \\ &= 2e^{i\frac{k}{2}(m_1 + m_2)} \cosh v \left[\frac{N}{2} - (m_2 - m_1) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

If we normalise these states, we see that the two reversed spins tend to be localised at n.n. positions; $|a(m_1, m_2)|$ is a maximum for $m_2 = m_1 + 1$ and has an exponential decay for $m_2 > m_1 + 1$. The width of the bound state is given by v and u is the velocity of the centre of mass of the bound complex in the chain. The Case II solutions represent the bound states of two spin waves.

Finally, we consider Case III, i.e., $\lambda_1 = (\lambda - 1)/2$ and $\lambda_2 = (\lambda + 1)/2$ (λ odd). From (116), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Nk_1 - \phi &= \pi(\lambda - 1) \\ Nk_2 + \phi &= \pi(\lambda + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

A solution of (129) is $k_1 = k_2$ and $\phi = \pi$. Then from (127),

$$a(m_1, m_2) = e^{ik/2(m_1 + m_2)} [\exp(i\pi/2) + \exp(-i\pi/2)] = 0 \quad (130)$$

For complex k_1, k_2 and $\phi, \psi = \pi$ and $\chi = Nv$ from (120). For $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the same solutions as we find in Case II.

For the general case $n = r$, Bethe proposed a form for the amplitude $a(\{m\})$ (the celebrated BA) which is a generalisation of the amplitude in the $n = 2$ case.

$$a(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) = \sum_P \exp \left[i \sum_{j=1}^r k_{Pj} m_j + \frac{1}{2} i \sum_{j < l}^{1,r} \phi_{Pj, Pl} \right] \quad (131)$$

The sum over P denotes a sum over all the $r!$ permutations of the integers $1, 2, \dots, r$, Pj is the image of j under the permutation P . Each term in (131) has r plane waves scattering against one another. For each pair of plane waves, there is a phase shift $\phi_{j,l}$. The sum over permutations is in accordance with the bosonic nature of the waves, the spin waves, propagating along the chain. For r spin deviations several possibilities occur: none of the spin deviations occur at n.n. sites, only two of the spin deviations occur at n.n. sites, more than a single pair of spin deviations occur at n.n. sites, three spin deviations occur at n.n. sites and so on. However, only the first two possibilities need to be considered to solve the eigenvalue problem, the other possibilities do not give any new information [51]. For $r = 3$, let us now write down the amplitude $a(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ in the BA form given by (131). Since $r = 3$, the sum contains $3! = 6$ terms.

$$\begin{aligned} a(m_1, m_2, m_3) &= e^{i(k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2 + k_3 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{12} + \phi_{13} + \phi_{23})} \\ &+ e^{i(k_1 m_1 + k_3 m_2 + k_2 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{12} + \phi_{13} + \phi_{32})} \\ &+ e^{i(k_2 m_1 + k_1 m_2 + k_3 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{21} + \phi_{13} + \phi_{23})} \\ &+ e^{i(k_3 m_1 + k_1 m_2 + k_2 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{12} + \phi_{31} + \phi_{32})} \\ &+ e^{i(k_2 m_1 + k_3 m_2 + k_1 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{21} + \phi_{31} + \phi_{23})} \\ &+ e^{i(k_3 m_1 + k_2 m_2 + k_1 m_3) + i/2(\phi_{21} + \phi_{31} + \phi_{32})} \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

For the general case $n = r$, i.e., r up-spins, one can proceed as in the $n = 2$ case and obtain the following equations:

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - \cos k_i) \quad (133)$$

$$2\cot \frac{1}{2} \phi_{j,l} = \cot \frac{k_j}{2} - \cot \frac{k_l}{2}, -\pi \leq \phi_{j,l} \leq \pi \quad (134)$$

$$Nk_i = 2\pi\lambda_i + \sum_j \phi_{ij} \quad (135)$$

The Eqs. (134) are $r(r-1)/2$ in number. Since $\phi_{jl} = -\phi_{lj}$, there are only $r(r-1)/2$ distinct ϕ 's. The Eqs. (135) are r in number. These r equations along with the $r(r-1)/2$ Eqs. of the type (134) give $r(r+1)/2$ equations in as many unknowns. Thus the equations can be expected to have solutions. Bethe showed that they also give the correct number of solutions.

We now consider a particular type of solution, in which the r deviated spins form a bound state and move together. The following simplified analysis has been given by Ovchinnikov [52]. One assumes that $r \ll N$ and that only the phases $\phi_{12}, \phi_{23}, \dots, \phi_{r-1,r}$ are large. One may put $Im\phi_{l-1,l} > 0$ without any loss of generality. Then from (135),

$$\begin{aligned} Im\phi_{12} &= NImk_1 = Nx_1 \\ Im(\phi_{23} - \phi_{12}) &= NImk_2 = Nx_2 \\ Im\phi_{r-1,r} &= Nx_r \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

One has

$\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \geq 0$ for all r . Substituting in (Eq. (134)), one gets to an accuracy e^{-N}

$$2\cot \frac{\phi_{12}}{2} = 2i \frac{e^{i(Re\phi_{12} + iIm\phi_{12})} + e^{-i(Re\phi_{12} + iIm\phi_{12})}}{e^{i(Re\phi_{12} + iIm\phi_{12})} - e^{-i(Re\phi_{12} + iIm\phi_{12})}} = -2i \quad (137)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -2i &= \cot \frac{k_1}{2} - \cot \frac{k_2}{2} \\ -2i &= \cot \frac{k_2}{2} - \cot \frac{k_3}{2} \text{ etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

So,

$$\cot \frac{k_l}{2} = 2i + \cot \frac{k_{l-1}}{2} \quad (139)$$

The solution is given by

$$\cot \frac{k_l}{2} = 2il + C \quad (140)$$

To determine C , introduce the total momentum of the deviated up-spins

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i = \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$$

The wave function ψ is multiplied by e^{ik} due to a shift $m_i \rightarrow m_i + 1$ and the energy levels are characterised by k . One gets

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \frac{2ri - i(e^{ik} - 1)}{e^{ik} - 1} \\
e^{ikl} &= \frac{r + l(e^{ik} - 1)}{r + (l-1)(e^{ik} - 1)} \\
\epsilon &= \sum_{l=1}^r (1 - \cos k_l) \\
&= \frac{1}{r} (1 - \cos k)
\end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

The results can be generalised to the Hamiltonian with longitudinal anisotropy

$$H(J, \sigma) = -J \sum_{i=1}^N [S_i^z S_{i+1}^z + \sigma (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y)] \tag{142}$$

The anisotropy parameter σ lies between 0 and 1. The multimagnon bound states were first detected in the quasi-one-dimensional magnetic system $CoCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ at pumped helium temperature in high magnetic fields by far infrared spectroscopy [53]. Later improvements [54] made use of infrared HCN/DCN lasers, the high intensity of which made possible observation of even 14 magnon bound states.

We now describe the calculation of the ground state energy of the HAFM Hamiltonian using the BA. The sign of the exchange integral changes from $-J$ to J ($J > 0$) and $\epsilon = \frac{(E - JN/4)}{J}$. The BA Eqns. are still given by (133)-(135), only there is an overall 'minus' sign on the r.h.s. of (133). In the AFM ground state, $N/2$ spins are up ($r=N/2$) and $N/2$ spins down. The numbers λ_i 's are ordered as

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \tag{143}$$

Again, $\lambda_{j+1} \geq \lambda_j + 2$, for real k_i 's (Case I). There is a unique choice of the λ_i 's as

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 3, \lambda_3 = 5, \dots, \lambda_{\frac{N}{2}} = N - 1 \tag{144}$$

The ground state has total spin $S = 0$ and is non-degenerate. The total ground state wave vector is

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} k_i = \frac{2\pi}{N} (1 + 3 + \dots + (N-1)) = \frac{\pi}{2} N \tag{145}$$

If $N = 4m$, m positive, the wave vector is $0 \pmod{2\pi}$; if $N = 4m+2$, it is $\pi \pmod{2\pi}$. The ground state energy is calculated by a passage to the continuum limit:

$$\lambda_j = \frac{2j-1}{N} \rightarrow x \tag{146}$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N/2} \phi_{jl} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \phi(x, y) dy \tag{147}$$

Eqs. (133)-(135) become

$$2 \cot \frac{1}{2} \phi(x, y) = \cot \frac{1}{2} k(x) - \cot \frac{1}{2} k(y) \tag{148}$$

$$k(x) = 2\pi x + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \phi(x, y) dy \tag{149}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &= -\sum_{j=1}^{N/2} (1 - \cos k_j) \\
&= -\frac{N}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - \cos k(x)) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

We note that when $x = y$, ϕ jumps from π to $-\pi$ and

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x, y)}{\partial x} = -2\pi \delta(x - y) + 2 \frac{d}{dx} (\cot^{-1} \frac{B}{A}) \tag{151}$$

where $A = 2$ and $B = \cot \frac{k(x)}{2} - \cot \frac{k(y)}{2}$. Thus from (149), we get

$$\frac{dk}{dx} = \pi + \operatorname{cosec}^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{dk}{dx} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{4 + \{\cot \frac{1}{2}k(x) - \cot \frac{1}{2}k(y)\}^2} \tag{152}$$

Put

$$g = \cot \frac{1}{2}k \tag{153}$$

and

$$\rho_0(g) = -\frac{dx}{dy} \tag{154}$$

Then from (152), we get the integral equation derived by Hulthén

$$\frac{2}{\pi(1+g^2)} = \rho_0(g) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_0(g') dg'}{(4 + (g - g')^2)} \tag{155}$$

The integral equation can be solved by the method of iterations,

$$\rho_0(g) = \frac{2}{\pi(1+g^2)} - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_0(g') dg'}{(4 + (g - g')^2)} \tag{156}$$

(at the end of the calculation λ is put equal to $2/\pi$). On iteration, (156) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0(g) &= \frac{2}{\pi(1+g^2)} - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dg_1}{(g_1 - g)^2 + 4} \\
&\times \left[\frac{2}{\pi(1+g_1^2)} - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_0(g_2) dg_2}{(4 + (g_2 - g_1)^2)} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

One can continue to iterate (157) to generate higher order terms in λ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0(g) &= \frac{2}{\pi(1+g^2)} - \lambda \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dg_1}{(4 + (g_1 - g)^2)(g_1^2 + 1)} \\
&+ \lambda^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dg_1 dg_2}{(4 + (g_1 - g)^2)(4 + (g_2 - g_1)^2)(g_2^2 + 1)} \\
&- \lambda^3 \int \dots\dots\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

One can calculate the integrals in (158) by the method of residues. For example,

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dg_1}{(4 + (g_1 - g)^2)(g_1^2 + 1)} = \frac{3}{g^2 + 9} \tag{159}$$

Putting $\lambda = \frac{2}{\pi}$, we finally obtain the solution of the integral equation (156) as

$$\rho_0(g) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{g^2 + 1} - \frac{3}{g^2 + 3^2} + \frac{5}{g^2 + 5^2} - \dots \right] \quad (160)$$

The series in (160) is uniformly convergent and one gets,

$$\rho_0(g) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi g}{2} \quad (161)$$

Thus,

$$\epsilon = -N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_0(g)}{1 + g^2} dg = -N \ln 2 \quad (162)$$

In absolute measure, the ground state energy E_g is

$$E_g = \frac{NJ}{4} - JN \ln 2 \quad (163)$$

The low-lying excitation spectrum has been calculated by des Cloizeaux and Pearson (dCP) [55] by making appropriate changes in the distribution of λ_i 's in the ground state. The spectrum is given by

$$\epsilon = \frac{\pi}{2} | \sin k |, -\pi \leq k \leq \pi \quad (164)$$

for spin 1 states. The wave vector k is measured w.r.t. that of the ground state. A more rigorous calculation of the low-lying excitation spectrum has been given by Faddeev and Takhtajan [56]. There are $S=1$ as well as $S=0$ states. We give a qualitative description of the excitation spectrum, for details Ref. [56] should be consulted. The energy of the low-lying excited states can be written as $E(k_1, k_2) = \epsilon(k_1) + \epsilon(k_2)$ with $\epsilon(k_i) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sin k_i$ and total momentum $k = k_1 + k_2$. At a fixed total momentum k , one gets a continuum of scattering states (Figure 8). The lower boundary of the continuum is given by the dCP spectrum (one of the k_i 's = 0). The upper boundary is obtained for $k_1 = k_2 = k/2$ and

$$\epsilon_k^U = \pi \left| \sin \frac{k}{2} \right| \quad (165)$$

The energy-momentum relations suggest that the low-lying spectrum is actually a combination of two elementary excitations known as spinons. The energies and the momenta of the spinons just add up, showing that they do not interact. A spinon is a $S = 1/2$ object, so on combination they give rise to both $S=1$ and $S=0$ states. In the Heisenberg model, the spinons are only noninteracting in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. For an even number N of sites, the total spin is always an integer, so that the spins are always excited in pairs. The spinons can be visualised as kinks in the AFM order parameter (Figure 9). Due to the exchange interaction, the individual spinons get delocalized into plane wave states. Inelastic neutron scattering study of the linear chain $S=1/2$ HAFM compound $KCuF_3$ has confirmed the existence of unbound spinon pair excitations [57]. In the case of integer spin chains, the spinons are bound and the excitation spectrum consists of spin-wave-like modes exhibiting the Haldane gap. The BA technique described in this Section is the one originally proposed by Bethe. There is an algebraic version of the BA which is in wide use and which gives the same final results as the earlier technique. For an introduction to the algebraic BA method, see the Refs. [58,59].

References

- [1] C.K. Majumdar and D.K. Ghosh, J.Math. Phys. 10, 1388 (1969); see also Ref. [6]
- [2] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 635 (1988); B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 639 (1988)
- [3] E. Westerberg, A. Furusaki, M. Sigrist and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4302 (1995)
- [4] S.M. Bhattacharjee and S.Mukherji, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31, L695 (1998)
- [5] E. Manousakis, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 1 (1991)
- [6] Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism by A. Auerbach (Springer-Verlag, New York 1994)
- [7] E. Lieb and D.C. Mattis, J. Math. Phys. 3, 749 (1962)
- [8] W. Marshall, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 232, 48 (1955)
- [9] E. Lieb, T.D. Schultz and D.C. Mattis, Ann. Phys. 16, 407 (1961)
- [10] I. Affleck, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1, 3047 (1989)
- [11] M. Oshikawa, M.Yamanaka and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1984 (1997)
- [12] K. Hida, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 2359 (1994)
- [13] N. D. Mermin and H.Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1133 (1966)
- [14] F. Dyson, E.H. Lieb and B. Simon, J. Stat. Phys. 18, 335 (1978); T. Kennedy, E.H. Lieb and B.S. Shastry, J. Stat. Phys. 53, 1019 (1988)
- [15] E.J. Neves and J.F. Perez, Phys. Lett. 114 A, 331 (1986)
- [16] I. Affleck, T.Kennedy, E.H.Lieb and H. Tasaki, Commun. Math. Phys. 115, 447 (1988)
- [17] Th. Jolicoeur and J.C. Le Guillou, Phys. Rev. B 40, 2727 (1989)
- [18] C. Zeng and V. Elser, Phys. Rev. B 51, 8318 (1995)
- [19] B. Canals and C. Lacroix, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2933 (1998)
- [20] U. Bhaumik and I. Bose, Phys. Rev. B 58, 73 (1998)
- [21] B. Bernu, P. Lecheminant, C. Lhuillier and L. Pierre, Phys. Rev. B 50, 10048 (1994)
- [22] K. Ueda, H. Kontani, M. Sigrist and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1932 (1996)
- [23] I.Bose and A.Ghosh, Phys. Rev. B 56, 3149 (1997)
- [24] E. Dagotto, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 5, 907 (1991); I. Bose, Physica A 186, 298 (1992)
- [25] B.S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 964 (1981)
- [26] D. Sen and S.Rao, Nucl. Phys. B 424, 547 (1994); S. Rao and D. Sen, J.Phys. : Condens. Matter 9, 1837 (1997); K. Nomura and K. Okamoto, J. Phys. A: math. Gen. 27, 5773 (1994)
- [27] B.S. shastry and B. Sutherland, Physica B 108, 1069 (1981)
- [28] I. Bose and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. B 44, 443 (1991); see also U. Bhaumik and I. Bose, Phys. Rev. B 52, 12489 (1995)
- [29] S. Miyahara and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3701 (1999)

- [30] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1153 (1983); Phys. Lett. A 93, 464 (1983)
- [31] J.P. Renard et al, Europhys. Lett. 3, 945 (1987); M. Date and K. Kindo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1659 (1990)
- [32] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E.H. Lieb and H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 799 (1987)
- [33] M. Hagiwara et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3181 (1990)
- [34] D.P. Arovas, A. Auerbach and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 531 (1988)
- [35] G. Fáth and J. Sólyom, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5, 8983 (1993)
- [36] E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, Science 271, 618 (1996)
- [37] T.M. Rice, Z.Phys.B 103, 165 (1997)
- [38] E. Dagotto, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 1525 (1999), cond-mat/9908250
- [39] I. Bose and S. Gayen, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10653 (1993); Y.Xian, Phys. Rev. B 52, 12485 (1995)
- [40] A. Ghosh and I. Bose, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3613 (1997)
- [41] I. Bose and S. Gayen, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 6427 (1999)
- [42] H. Bethe, Z. Physik 71, 205 (1931)
- [43] L. Hulthén, Arkiv. Mat. Astron. Fys. 26A, 11 (1938)
- [44] E. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963); E. Lieb, Phys. Rev. 130, 1616 (1963); M.Gaudin, Phys. Letters 24A, 55 (1967); C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967); C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, J.Math.Phys. 10, 1115 (1969)
- [45] E. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968)
- [46] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1083 (1975); ibid 35, 185 (1975)
- [47] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3795 (1975)
- [48] N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 379 (1980)
- [49] P.B. Wiegmann, J.Phys. C 14, 1463 (1981); V.M. Filyov, A.M. Tsvelick and P.G. Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. 81 A, 175 (1981)
- [50] P.A. Bares and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2567 (1990)
- [51] I. Bose in Models and Techniques in Statistical Physics ed. by S.M. Bhattacharjee (Narosa, New Delhi India 1997), p.144
- [52] A. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 56, 1354 (1969)
- [53] J.B. Torrance and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. 187, 587 (1969); ibid 187, 59 (1969)
- [54] D.F. Nicoli and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. B 9, 3126 (1974)
- [55] J. des Cloizeaux and J.J. Pearson, Phys. rev. 128, 2131 (1962)
- [56] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. 85A, 375 (1981); see also C.K. Majumdar in Exactly Solvable Problems in Condensed Matter and Relativistic Field Theory ed. by B.S. Shastri, S.S. Jha and V. Singh (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1985)
- [57] D.A. Tennant, T.G. Perring, R.A. Cowley and S.E. Nagler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 4003 (1993)

- [58] L.A. Takhtajan in Exactly Solvable Problems in Condensed Matter and relativistic Field Theory ed. by B.S. Shastri, S.S. Jha and V.Singh (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1985)
- [59] I. Bose in Models and Techniques of Statistical Physics ed. by S.M. Bhattacharjee (Narosa, New Delhi India 1997), p.156

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Ising spins on a triangular plaquette.

Figure 2. An example of a RVB state.

Figure 3. The Shastry-Sutherland model.

Figure 4. Five types of interactions in the $J_1 - J_2 - J_3 - J_4 - J_5$ model.

Figure 5. Four columnar dimer states.

Figure 6. A two-chain spin ladder.

Figure 7. The continuum of scattering states (solid lines) and the bound state (dotted line) of two magnons.

Figure 8. Continuum of scattering states for the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg AFM chain.

Figure 9. A two-spinon configuration in an AFM chain.